

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET N	O. CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,975	12/05/200	02	Thomas G. Habetler	ETC7455.030	3825
27060	7590 03	3/18/2004		EX	AMINER
	SKI PATENT S	LEJA, RONALD W			
	TH CEDARBUR WI 53097	G ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,,,,			2836	· ·
				DATE MAILED: 03/18/	2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(bu/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(0.0			
	10/065,975	HABETLER, THO	HABETLER, THOMAS G.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ronald W Leja	2836				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely  NTHS from the mailing date of this or  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>ID</u>	OS of 12/5/02.					
	his action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	, , ,	·				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) 8-22 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,7 and 23 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-6 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and complex application Papers	drawn from consideration.					
•	.t					
<ul> <li>9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam</li> <li>10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 2002</u> in Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the content of the co</li></ul>	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/5/02.</li> </ol>	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC :	D-152)			

Art Unit: 2836

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 7 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lang et al. (5,521,482).

Lang et al. disclose a method for identifying an internal motor fault (Col. 14, lines 41-44) wherein simultaneous sampling of voltage and current data of an induction machine is operation occurs (Fig. 1, elements 42-54) and an indicator of reactive power is determined an used for motor fault detection (Fig. 4, elements 428, 430; Col. 19, lines 30-34). For Claims 7 and 23, (Col. 4, lines 21-24).

- 3. Claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 8-22 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a Statement of Reasons for the Indication of Allowable Subject Matter: The Prior Art of Record does not disclose nor suggest the claimed combinations found in Independent Claims 8, 13 and 18, as well as those limitations added by dependent Claims 2-6. The combination of Claim 8 includes determining a motor fault from a generated frequency spectrum of the instantaneous reactive power. The

Art Unit: 2836

combination of Claim 13 includes comparing instantaneous reactive power values to a set of baseline reactive power values to determine a fault in the motor and the combination of Claim 18 includes comparing the reactive power to a baseline operation modeled from a computer so as to determine presence of fault conditions in the AC motor. Dependent Claim 6 includes the determining of a reference frame transformation angle and a rotating reference. Claim 2 adds to Independent Claim 1, the use of real power wherein a load fault is determined from an indicator of the real power. Lang et al. (5,521,482) teach the use of current and voltage sampling, reactive and real power calculating, the determination of motor faults as well as tracking motor performance and being able to yield advance warning of suspect fault conditions. A computer is used wherein historic data is gathered and utilized in the fault determining process via traces and performance diagrams, but there does not appear to be any disclosure or teachings of determining a motor fault from a generated frequency spectrum of the instantaneous reactive power or comparing instantaneous reactive power values to a set of baseline reactive power values to determine a fault in the motor or comparing the reactive power to a baseline operation modeled from a computer so as to determine presence of fault conditions in the AC motor. Therefore, it is the opinion of the Examiner, that the above claims are considered to be novel and unobvious in view of the Prior Art of Record.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,975 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald W Leja whose telephone number is (571)272-2053. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571)272-2800. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ronald W Leja Primary Examiner Art Unit 2836

rwl March 7, 2004